



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/784,781 | 02/24/2004 | Gerhard D. Klassen | 16813-IUS | 2200 |
| 20/988 7590 07/10/2009 OGILVY RENAULT LLP 1, Place Ville Marie SUITE 2500 MONTREAL, QC H3B 1R1 CANADA | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| HEFFINGTON, JOHN M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2179 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 07/10/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/784,781

Applicant(s)

KLASSEN ET AL.

Examiner

JOHN M. HEFFINGTON

Art Unit

2179

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN M. HEFFINGTON.

(3) _____.

(2) Christine Wong 62935.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hellebust et al. (US 2005/0248437 A1), Salmimaa et al (US 2002/0160817 A1), Wagner (US 2004/0155908 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant requested and the examiner granted an interview to discuss the status of the instant invention. Amendments to the claims were discussed to overcome the cited prior art. The discussed amendments would require further search and consideration by the examiner. No agreement was reached on the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven B Theriault/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179